

Fully Coupled Forward-backward Stochastic Differential Equations on Markov Chains

Shaolin Ji¹ Haodong Liu¹ Xinling Xiao²

¹ Qilu Institute of Finance, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, P. R. China

Abstract

We define fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations on spaces related to continuous time, finite state Markov Chains. Existence and uniqueness results of the fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations on Markov Chains are obtained.

Key words: forward-backward stochastic differential equations, stochastic analysis, Markov chains

¹Xiao's research is supported by NNSF project(11301309) of China, NSFC(11001155) and Shandong Provincial Scientific Research Foundation for Excellent Young Scientists(BS2013SF003) .

²Corresponding author: Xinling Xiao

³E-mail address:jsl@sdu.edu.cn; liuhaodong@mail.sdu.edu.cn; xinlingxiao@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

1 Introduction

Since the first introduction by Pardoux and Peng [14] in 1990, the theory of nonlinear backward stochastic differential Equations (BSDEs, for short) driven by a Brownian motion has been intensively researched by many researchers and has been achieved abundant theoretical results. Now the theory of BSDEs has risen to be a powerful part of the stochastic analyst's tool. It also has many important applications, namely in stochastic control, stochastic differential game, finance and the theory of partial differential equations (PDEs, for short).

In the classic BSDEs theory, we consider the Brownian motion as the driver, but Brownian motion is a kind of very idealized stochastic model which restricts greatly the applications of the classic BSDEs. There are many theories of BSDEs with jump processes recently. Tang and Li [17] first discussed BSDEs driven by Brownian motion and Poisson Process; Nualart, Schoutens [12] gave BSDEs driven by Brownian motion and Levy Process. Furthermore there are results on BSDEs that other process instead of the Brown motion in diffusion term, such as Ouknine [13] researched BSDE driven by Poisson random measure. In 2008, Cohen [3] studied BSDE driven by a continuous time, finite state Markov Chain. After then, many results such as comparison theorem about this kind of BSDE, nonlinear expected results ([4], [5]) and so on were achieved.

Along with the rapid development of the BSDE theory, the theory of fully coupled forward backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs, for short) which is closely related to BSDEs has been developing very rapidly. Fully coupled FBSDEs with Brownian motion can be encountered in the optimization problem when applying stochastic maximum principle and mathematical finance considering large investor in security market. As we know now, to get results on the existence and the uniqueness of fully coupled FBSDE's solutions, there are mainly three methods: method of contraction Mapping ([1], [15]), four-step scheme ([11], [10]), method of continuation ([7], [16]). For more contents on fully coupled FBSDEs, the reader is referred to Yong [21], Wu [20] or Ma, Wu, Zhang and Zhang [9] and the references therein. Wu ([18], [19]) also studied FBSDEs driven by Brownian motion and Poisson Process. Li [8] studied FBSDEs driven by Brownian motion and Levy Process.

In this paper, we study fully coupled FBSDEs driven by a Martingale which is generated by a continuous time, finite state Markov Chain when SDE and BSDE have different dimensions. Inspired by Peng and Wu [16], we also introduced a $m \times n$ full rank matrix G to overcome the problem caused by the different dimensions of SDE and BSDE. Using the method of continuation, the *Itô* product rule of Semimartingales and the fixed point principle, with the help of the theory of BSDE driven by a continuous time, finite state Markov Chain, We obtain the existence

and uniqueness results of the FBSDEs on Markov Chains. Because of the property of the martingale generated by the finite state Markov Chain is different from the property of the Brownian motion, so the form of the monotone assumptions we got here is different from Peng and Wu [16].

In Section 2, we consider formulation of the problem; in Section 3, we give preliminary concerns; in Section 4 we study the existence and uniqueness results of the fully coupled FBSDEs on Markov chains under some monotone assumptions; in Section 5 we give proofs of Lemmas in Section 4; in Section 6 we discuss the existence and uniqueness results of the fully coupled FBSDEs on Markov chains under another set of monotone assumptions; in Section 7 we give proofs of Lemmas in Section 6.

2 Formulation of the problem

Assume $m = \{m_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a continuous time, finite state Markov chain and it takes values in unit vectors e_i in $\mathbb{R}^d$, where d is the number of states of the chain.

We consider stochastic processes defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$, where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is the completed natural filtration generated by the σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\{m_s, s \leq t\}, F \in \mathcal{F}_T : \mathbb{P}(F) = 0)$, and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$. Observe that m is right-continuous, this filtration is right-continuous. If $\mathcal{A}_t$ denotes the rate matrix for m at time t , then this chain has the following representation:

$$m_t = m_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_s m_s ds + M_t$$

where M_t is a martingale (see [6]).

We consider the following forward-backward stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s-, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) dM_s, \\ Y_t = \Phi(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_{s-} dM_s, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where X, Y, Z take values in $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $T > 0$ is an arbitrarily fixed number and b, σ, f, Φ are functions with following appropriate dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} b &: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \sigma &: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \\ f &: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m.$$

We seek a $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted triple (X, Y, Z) such that it satisfies the above forward-backward stochastic differential equations, on $[0, T]$, $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. That is, our aim is to find the $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted solution of the the above forward-backward stochastic differential equations.

Note that, as it is only the $s - left$ limit $\sigma(s-, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-})$ which enters into Eqs(1), there is no loss of generality to assume that $\sigma(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)$ is left continuous in s for each ω and X, Y . Note also that as M is a semimartingale, X, Y is *càdlàg* and adapted. We suppose the existence of the left limits of Z . So Z must have at most a countable number of discontinuities, and then it must be left-continuous at each t except possibly on a dt -null set. Hence, if Z_s satisfies Eqs(1), then so does Z_{s-} ,

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_{s-})ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s-, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-})dM_s, \\ Y_t = \Phi(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_{s-})ds - \int_t^T Z_{s-}dM_s. \end{cases}$$

Writing $Z_t^{**} := Z_{t-}$ we have a left-continuous process Z^{**} which also satisfy the desired equations, and therefore the writing of the left limits Z_{t-} is unnecessary as we simply assume our solution is left-continuous.

Given there arguments, we rewrite Eqs(1) as

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_s)dM_s, \\ Y_t = \Phi(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dM_s. \end{cases}$$

As a side note, observe that Eqs(1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b^{**}(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_s)dm_s, \\ Y_t = \Phi(X_T) + \int_t^T f^{**}(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dm_s, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Where $b^{**}(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) = b(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - \sigma(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)\mathcal{A}_s m_s(\omega)$ and $f^{**}(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) = f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) + Z_s \mathcal{A}_s m_s(\omega)$.

Obviously, Eqs(2) is driven by Markov chain $m = \{m_t, t \in [0, T]\}$.

3 preliminary concerns

(1) preliminary notations

We know that the optional quadratic variation of M_t is given by the matrix process

$$[M, M]_t = \sum_{s=0}^t \Delta M_s \Delta M_s^*,$$

where “*” means transpose.

Observing that $\mathcal{A}$ is the rate matrix of the Markov chain m , the predictable quadratic variation is

$$\langle M, M \rangle_t = \int_0^t [\text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_s m_s) - \text{diag}(m_s) \mathcal{A}_s^* - \mathcal{A}_s \text{diag}(m_s)] ds.$$

Then it can be seen through considering

$$\text{diag}(m_t) = \text{diag}(m_0) + \int_0^t \text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_s m_s) ds + \int_0^t \text{diag}(dM_s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diag}(m_t) &= m_t m_t^* \\ &= m_0 m_0^* + \int_0^t m_s m_s^* \mathcal{A}_s^* ds + \int_0^t m_{s-} dM_s^* + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_s m_s m_s^* ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t dM_s m_{s-}^* + \sum_{s=0}^t \Delta M_s \Delta M_s^*. \end{aligned}$$

Equating these two we can get

$$[M, M]_t = L_t + \int_0^t [\text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_s m_s) - \text{diag}(m_s) \mathcal{A}_s^* - \mathcal{A}_s \text{diag}(m_s)] ds,$$

where L is some martingale. This in turn suggests that

$$\langle M, M \rangle_t = \int_0^t [\text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_s m_s) - \text{diag}(m_s) \mathcal{A}_s^* - \mathcal{A}_s \text{diag}(m_s)] ds.$$

We will define the following notations:

$(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^m$; we use the usual Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$; and for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, we define $|z| = \{\text{tr}(zz^*)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

For $z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $z^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$,

$$((z^1, z^2)) = \text{tr}(z^1(z^2)^*),$$

and for $u^1 = (x^1, y^1, z^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $u^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$,

$$[u^1, u^2] = (x^1, x^2) + (y^1, y^2) + ((z^1, z^2))$$

We are given an $m \times n$ full-rank matrix G . For $u = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, let

$$F(t, u) = (-G^* f(t, u), Gb(t, u), 0),$$

$$H(t, u) = (0, 0, G\sigma(t, u))$$

where $G\sigma = (G\sigma_1 \cdots G\sigma_d)$.

Furthermore we define

$$\langle C, D \rangle_V = \text{Tr}(C[\text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_s v) - \text{diag}(v)\mathcal{A}_s^* - \mathcal{A}_s \text{diag}(v)]D^*),$$

$$\|C\|_v^2 = \langle C, C \rangle_v,$$

where v is a basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

(2) BSDEs on Markov chains

We first discuss the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the following BSDEs on Markov chains. We consider the equations of the form

$$Y_t = \Phi(X_T) - \int_t^T F_1(\omega, s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T [F_2(s, Y_{s-}) + Z_s] dM_s$$

for functions $F_1 : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $F_2 : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. These functions are assumed to be progressively measurable, *i.e.* $F_1(\cdot, s, Y_s, Z_s)$ and $F_2(\cdot, s, Y_s)$ are $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable for all $t \in [0, T]$.

From Cohen [3], we have the following result about martingale representation:

Lemma 1 *Any $\mathbb{R}^d$ valued martingale L defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ can be represented as a stochastic (in this case Stieltjes) integral with respect to the martingale process M , up to equality $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.. This representation is unique up to a $d\langle M, M \rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$ -null set. That is*

$$L_t = L_0 + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s,$$

where Z_s is a predictable $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ valued matrix process.

Cohen [3] gave the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the above BSDEs.

Theorem 1 *Assume Lipschitz continuity on the generators F_1 and F_2 . we shall require there to exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in [0, T]$*

$$E|F_1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - F_1(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2)|^2 \leq c^2 E|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2|^2 + c^2 E\|Z_s^1 - Z_s^2\|_{M_s}^2$$

$$E\|F_2(s, Y_{s-}^1) - F_2(s, Y_{s-}^2)\|_{M_s}^2 \leq c^2 E|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2|^2.$$

Under the above Lipschitz condition, the above equation has at most one solution up to indistinguishability for Y and equality a.s.d $\langle M, M \rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$ for Y .

4 Existence and uniqueness for above forward-backward equations

We give two definitions as following:

Definition 1 *We denote by $\mathcal{M}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted processes such that*

$$E \int_0^T |v(s)|^2 ds < +\infty.$$

Definition 2 *A triple of processes $(X, Y, Z) : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ is called an adapted solution of the Eqs (1) and (2), if $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{M}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d})$, and it satisfies Eqs (1) and (2) $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely.*

The solution's adaptedness allows us to put Eqs(1) in a differential form below:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_{t-}, Y_{t-}, Z_t)dM_t, \\ -dY_t = f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dM_t, \\ X_0 = x, Y_T = \Phi(X_T). \end{cases}$$

Now we give the critical assumptions of our paper:

Assumption 1 *For each $u = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $F(\cdot, u), H(\cdot, u) \in \mathcal{M}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d})$ and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Phi(x) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^n)$; and*

- (1) $F(t, u)$ is uniformly lipschitz with respect to u ;
- (2) $H(t, u)$ is uniformly lipschitz with respect to u ;
- (3) $\Phi(x)$ is uniformly lipschitz with respect to x .

Assumption 2 *There exists a constant $c_2 > 0, c_2' > 0$ such that*

$$[F(t, u^1) - F(t, u^2), u^1 - u^2] \leq -c_2 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2 - c_2' (|G^*(y^1 - y^2)|^2 + |G^*(z^1 - z^2)|^2),$$

$$[H(t, u^1) - H(t, u^2), u^1 - u^2] \leq -c_2 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2 - c_2' (|G^*(y^1 - y^2)|^2 + |G^*(z^1 - z^2)|^2),$$

$$\mathbb{P} - a.s., a.e. t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall u^1 = (x^1, y^1, z^1), u^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d},$$

and

$$(\Phi(x^1) - \Phi(x^2), G(x^1 - x^2)) \geq c_3 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2, \forall x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Where c_2, c_2' and c_3 are given positive constants.

In this section, we will give the main result of our paper.

Theorem 2 *Let Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 hold, then there exists a unique adapted solution (X, Y, Z) for Eqs(1).*

Proof of Uniqueness: If $U^1 = (X^1, Y^1, Z^1), U^2 = (X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ are two adapted solutions of Eqs (1). We set

$$(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X^1 - X^2, Y^1 - Y^2, Z^1 - Z^2),$$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{b}(t) = b(t, U_t^1) - b(t, U_t^2), \\ \hat{\sigma}(t) = \sigma(t, U_t^1) - \sigma(t, U_t^2), \\ \hat{f}(t) = f(t, U_t^1) - f(t, U_t^2). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{X}_t = \hat{b}(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + \hat{\sigma}(t, X_{t-}, Y_{t-}, Z_t)dM_t, \\ -d\hat{Y}_t = \hat{f}(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - \hat{Z}_t dM_t. \end{cases}$$

From Assumption 1, it follows

$$E(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{X}_t|^2) + E(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{Y}_t|^2) < +\infty.$$

Using Stieltjes chain rule for products

$$d(G\hat{X}_s, \hat{Y}_s) = \hat{Y}_{s-}d(G\hat{X}_s) + (G\hat{X}_{s-})d\hat{Y}_s + d(G\hat{X}_s)d\hat{Y}_s$$

where $d(G\hat{X}_s)d\hat{Y}_s = d[G\hat{X}_s, \hat{Y}_s]_t$, and hence, taking expectation and evaluating at $t = T$, by Assumption 2, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{Y}_T, G\hat{X}_T) &= E(\Phi(X_T^1) - \Phi(X_T^2), G(X_T^1 - X_T^2)) \\
&= E \int_0^T [(-G^* \hat{f}, \hat{X}_s) + (G\hat{b}, \hat{Y}_s)] ds + E \sum_{s=0}^T ((G\hat{\sigma}, \hat{Z}_s)) \Delta M_s \Delta M_s^* \\
&= E \int_0^T [F(s, U^1) - F(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] ds \\
&\quad + E \sum_{s=0}^T [H(s, U^1) - H(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] \Delta M_s \Delta M_s^* \\
&= E \int_0^T [F(s, U^1) - F(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^T [H(s, U^1) - H(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] d[M, M]_s \\
&= E \int_0^T [F(s, U^1) - F(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] ds \\
&\quad + E \int_0^T [H(s, U^1) - H(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\
&\leq -c_2 E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 ds - c_2' E \int_0^T (|G^* \hat{Y}|^2 + |G^* \hat{Z}|^2) ds \\
&\quad - c_2 E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s - c_2' E \int_0^T (|G^* \hat{Y}|^2 + |G^* \hat{Z}|^2) d\langle M, M \rangle_s.
\end{aligned}$$

we get then

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_2(E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s) \\
&\quad + c_2'[E \int_0^T (|G^* \hat{Y}|^2 + |G^* \hat{Z}|^2) ds + E \int_0^T (|G^* \hat{Y}|^2 + |G^* \hat{Z}|^2) d\langle M, M \rangle_s] + c_3 |G\hat{X}|^2 \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As c_2, c_2' and c_3 are given positive constants, then $|G\hat{X}|^2 \equiv 0, |G^* \hat{Y}|^2 \equiv 0, |G^* \hat{Z}|^2 \equiv 0$. So $\hat{X}_s^1 \equiv \hat{X}_s^2, \hat{Y}_s^1 \equiv \hat{Y}_s^2, \hat{Z}_s^1 \equiv \hat{Z}_s^2, d\langle M, M \rangle_t \times P - a.s.$

□

We now consider the following family of FBSDEs parametrized by $l \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^l = [(1-l)c_2'(-G^*Y_t^l) + lb(t, U_t^l) + \phi_t]dt + [(1-l)c_2'(-G^*Z_t^l) + l\sigma(t, U_t^l) + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t^l = [(1-l)c_2GX_t^l + lf(t, U_t^l) + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t^l dM_t \\ X_0^l = x, Y_T^l = l\Phi(X_T^l) + (1-l)GX_T^l + \xi, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where ϕ, ψ and γ are given processes in $M^2(0, T)$ with values in $R^n, R^{n \times d}$ and R^m , resp. Clearly, when $l = 1, \xi \equiv 0$, the existence of Eqs(3) implies that of Eqs(1). When $l = 0$, Eqs(3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^0 = [-c_2'G^*Y_t^0 + \phi_t]dt + [-c_2'G^*Z_t^0 + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t^0 = [c_2GX_t^0 + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t^0 dM_t \\ X_0^0 = x, Y_T^0 = GX_T^0 + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

For proving the existence part of the theorem, we first need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2 *The following equation has a unique solution:*

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = [-c_2'G^*Y_t + \phi_t]dt + [-c_2'G^*Z_t + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t = [c_2GX_t + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t dM_t \\ X_0 = x, Y_T = \lambda GX_T + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where λ is a nonnegative constant.

Lemma 3 *We assume Assumption 1 and Assumption 2. If for an $l_0 \in [0, 1]$ there exists a solution $(X^{l_0}, Y^{l_0}, Z^{l_0})$ of Eqs(3) then there exists a positive constant δ_0 , such that for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, there exists a solution $(X^{l_0+\delta}, Y^{l_0+\delta}, Z^{l_0+\delta})$ of Eqs(3) for $l = l_0 + \delta$.*

Now we can give

Proof of Existence From Lemma 2 we see immediately that, when $\lambda = 1$ in Eqs(5), eqs(3) for $l = 0$ (that is Eqs(4)) has a unique solution. It then follows from Lemma 3 that there exists a positive constant δ_0 depending on Lipschitz constants, c_2, c_2', c_3 and T such that, for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, Eqs(3) for $l = l_0 + \delta$ has a unique solution. We can repeat this process for $N - times$ with $1 \leq N\delta_0 < 1 + \delta_0$. It then follows that, in particular, Eqs(3) for $l = 1$ with $\xi \equiv 0$ has a unique solution. The proof is complete. □

5 Proof of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3

Proof of Lemma 2:

We observe that the matrix G is of full rank. The proof of the existence for equation (5) will be divided into two cases: $n \leq m$ and $n > m$.

For the first case, the matrix G^*G is of full rank. We set

$$\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \\ Z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ G^*Y \\ G^*Z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y'' \\ Z'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)Y \\ (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)Z \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying G^* on both sides of the BSDE for (Y, Z) yields

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [-c'_2 Y'_t + \phi_t]dt + [-c'_2 Z'_t + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY'_t = [c_2 G^* G X'_t + G^* \gamma_t]dt - Z'_t dM_t \\ X'_0 = x, Y'_T = \lambda G^* G X'_T + G^* \xi. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Similarly, multiplying $(I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)$ on both sides of the same equation

$$\begin{cases} -dY''_t = (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)\gamma_t dt - Z''_t dM_t, \\ Y''_T = (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)\xi. \end{cases}$$

Obviously the pair (Y'', Z'') is uniquely determined (by [cohen]). The uniqueness of (X', Y', Z') follows from Theorem 2. In order to solve Eqs(6), we introduce the following $n \times n$ -symmetric matrix-valued ODE, known as the matrix-Riccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{K}(t) = -c'_2 K^2 + c_2 G^* G, t \in [0, T] \\ K_T = \lambda G^* G. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that this equation has a unique nonnegative solution $K(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T]; S^n)$. Where S^n stands for the space of all $n \times n$ -symmetric matrices. We then consider the solution $(p, q) \in M^2(0, T; R^{n+n \times d})$ of the following linear simple BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} -dp_t = [-c'_2 K(t)p_t + K(t)\phi_t + G^* \gamma_t]dt + [K(t)\psi_t - (I_n + c'_2 K(t))q_t]dM_t, t \in [0, T] \\ p_T = G^* \xi. \end{cases}$$

We now let X'_t be the solution of the SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [-c'_2 (K(t)X'_t + p_t) + \phi_t]dt + [\psi_t - c'_2 q_t]dM_t, \\ X'_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that $(X'_t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = (X'_t, K(t)X'_t + p_t, q_t)$ is the solution of equation (6). Once (X', Y', Z') and (Y'', Z'') are resolved, then the triple (X, Y, Z) is uniquely obtained by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ G(G^*G)^{-1}Y' + Y'' \\ G(G^*G)^{-1}Z' + Z'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the second case, the matrix GG^* is of full rank. We set

$$\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \\ Z' \\ X'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} GX \\ Y \\ Z \\ (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)X \end{pmatrix},$$

X'' is the unique solution of the following linear SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX''_t = (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)\phi_t dt + (I^n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)\varphi_t dM_t, \\ X''_0 = (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)x. \end{cases}$$

The triple (X', Y', Z') solves the FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [-c'_2 GG^* Y'_t + G\phi_t]dt + [-c'_2 GG^* Z'_t + G\psi_t]dM_t, \\ -dY'_t = [c_2 X'_t + \gamma_t]dt - Z'_t dM_t \\ X'_0 = Gx, Y'_T = \lambda X'_T + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

To solve this equation, we introduce the following $m \times m$ -symmetric matrix-valued matrix-Raccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{K}(t) = c_2 I_m - c'_2 K G G^* K, t \in [0, T] \\ K(T) = \lambda I_m. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that this equation has a unique nonnegative solution $K(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T]; S^m)$. Where S^m stands for the space of all $m \times m$ -symmetric matrices. We then consider the solution $(p, q) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+m \times d})$ of the following linear simple BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} -dp_t = [-c'_2 K(t) G G^* p_t + K(t) G \phi_t + \gamma_t]dt + (K(t) G \psi_t - (I_m + c'_2 K(t) G G^*) q_t) dM_t, t \in [0, T], \\ p_T = \xi. \end{cases}$$

We now let X'_t be the solution of the SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [-c'_2 GG^*(K(t)X'_t + \gamma_t) + G\phi_t]dt + [G\psi_t - c'_2 GG^*q_t]dM_t, \\ X'_0 = Gx. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that $(X'_t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = (X'_t, K(t)X'_t + p_t, q_t)$ is the solution of eqs(7). Once (X', X'', Y', Z') are resolved, by the definition, the triple (X, Y, Z) is uniquely obtained by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G^*(GG^*)^{-1}X' + X'' \\ Y' \\ Z' \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Lemma 3: Since for each $\phi \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n), \gamma \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m), \psi \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}), \xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, l_0 \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique solution of (3), therefore, for each $U_s = (X_s, Y_s, Z_s) \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d})$ there exists a unique triple $u_s = (x_s, y_s, z_s) \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d})$ satisfying the following FB-SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dx_t = [(1-l_0)c'_2(-G^*y_t) + l_0b(t, u_t) + \delta b(t, U_t) + \delta c'_2(G^*Y_t) + \phi_t]dt \\ \quad + [(1-l_0)c'_2(-G^*z_t) + l_0\sigma(t, u_t) + \delta\sigma(t, U_t) + \delta c'_2(G^*Z_t) + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dy_t = [(1-l_0)c_2Gx_t + l_0f(t, u_t) + \delta(-c_2GX_t) + \delta f(t, U_t) + \gamma_t]dt - z_t dM_t \\ x_0 = x, y_T = l_0\Phi(x_T) + (1-l_0)GX_T + \delta(\Phi(X_T) - GX_T) + \xi. \end{cases}$$

We want to prove that the mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{l_0+\delta}(U \times X_T) &= u \times x_T : M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}) \\ &\rightarrow M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}) \end{aligned}$$

is contract.

Let $U' \times X'_T = (X', Y', Z') \times X'_T \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ and $u' \times x'_T = I_{l_0+\delta}(U' \times X'_T)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X - X', Y - Y', Z - Z'), \\ \hat{u} &= (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (x - x', y - y', z - z'), \\ \hat{f}_s &= f(s, U_s) - f(s, U'_s), \hat{b}_s = b(s, U_s) - b(s, U'_s), \hat{\sigma}_s = \sigma(s, U_s) - \sigma(s, U'_s), \\ \bar{f}_s &= f(s, u_s) - f(s, u'_s), \bar{b}_s = b(s, u_s) - b(s, u'_s), \bar{\sigma}_s = \sigma(s, u_s) - \sigma(s, u'_s). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\hat{x}_s = [(1-l_0)c_2'(-G^*\hat{y}_s) + l_0\bar{b}_s + \delta\hat{b}_s + \delta c_2'G^*\hat{Y}_s]ds \\ \quad + [(1-l_0)c_2'(-G^*\hat{z}_s) + l_0\bar{\sigma}_s + \delta\hat{\sigma}_s + \delta c_2'G^*\hat{Z}_s]dM_s, \\ -d\hat{y}_s = [(1-l_0)c_2G\hat{x}_s + l_0\bar{f}_s + \delta(-c_2G\hat{X}_s) + \delta\hat{f}_s]ds - \hat{z}_sdM_s, \\ \hat{x}_0 = 0, \hat{y}_T = l_0(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T')) + (1-l_0)G\hat{x}_T + \delta(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T') - G\hat{x}_T). \end{array} \right.$$

Using Stieltjes chain rule for product $(G\hat{x}_s, \hat{y}_s)$, and hence, taking expectations and evaluating at $t = T$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & l_0E(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T'), G\hat{x}_T) + (1-l_0)E(G\hat{x}_T, G\hat{x}_T) + \delta E(\Phi(X_T) - \Phi(X_T') - G\hat{X}_T, G\hat{x}_T) \\ &= l_0E \int_0^T [F(s, u_s) - F(s, u_s'), \hat{u}_s]ds + l_0E \int_0^T [H(s, u_s) - H(s, u_s'), \hat{u}_s]d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\quad - (1-l_0)E \int_0^T [c_2|G\hat{x}_s|^2 + c_2'|G^*\hat{y}_s|^2]ds - (1-l_0)E \int_0^T [c_2'|G^*\hat{z}_s|^2]d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \delta E \int_0^T [c_2(G\hat{x}_s, G\hat{X}_s) + c_2'(G^*\hat{y}_s, G^*\hat{Y}_s) + (\hat{x}_s, -G^*\hat{f}_s) + (G^*\hat{y}_s, \hat{b}_s)]ds \\ &\quad + \delta E \int_0^T [c_2'(G^*\hat{Z}_s, G^*\hat{z}_s) + (\hat{z}_s, G\hat{\sigma}_s)]d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

From Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, $c_2 > 0, c_3 > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (l_0c_3 + (1-l_0))E|G\hat{x}_T|^2 + c_2E \int_0^T |G\hat{x}_s|^2ds + c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{y}_s|^2ds + l_0c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{z}_s|^2ds \\ &+ l_0c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{y}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s + l_0c_2E \int_0^T |G\hat{x}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s + c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{z}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\leq \delta c_4E \int_0^T (|\hat{U}_s|^2 + |\hat{u}_s|^2)ds + \delta c_4E \int_0^T (|\hat{U}_s|^2 + |\hat{u}_s|^2)d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \delta c_4E|\hat{X}_T|^2 + \delta c_4E|\hat{x}_T|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the difference of the solutions $(\hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (y - y', z - z')$, we apply the usual technique to the BSDE, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_0^T |\hat{y}_s|^2ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{z}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\leq c_4\delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2ds + c_4\delta E|\hat{X}_T|^2 + c_4E \int_0^T |\hat{x}_s|^2ds + c_4E|\hat{x}_T|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^T |\hat{y}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{z}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\
& \leq c_4 \delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + c_4 \delta E |\hat{X}_T|^2 + c_4 E \int_0^T |\hat{x}_s|^2 ds + c_4 E |\hat{x}_T|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the difference of the solution $\hat{x} = x - x'$, we apply the usual technique to the forward part and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\hat{x}_s|^2 & \leq c_4 E \int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + |\hat{z}_s|^2) ds + c_4 E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\
& \quad + c_4 \delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + c_4 \delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \\
E \int_0^T |\hat{x}_s|^2 ds & \leq c_4 T E \int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + |\hat{z}_s|^2) ds + c_4 T E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\
& \quad + c_4 \delta T E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + c_4 \delta T E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the constant c_4 depends on the Lipschitz constants as well as G, c_2, c_2' and T .

Combing the above estimates, it is clear that, we always have we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{x}_T|^2 \\
& \leq c_5 \delta \{ E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{X}_T|^2 \},
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant c_5 depending on c_4, G, c_2 and c_3 . If we choose $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2c_5}$, then it is clear that, for each fixed $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, the mapping $I_{\alpha+\delta}$ is contract in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{x}_T|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{X}_T|^2 \},
\end{aligned}$$

It indicates that this mapping has a unique fixed point $(u^{l_0+\delta}) = (x^{l_0+\delta}, y^{l_0+\delta}, z^{l_0+\delta})$, which is the solution of equation (3) for $l = l_0 + \delta$. The proof is complete. $\square$

6 Another existence and uniqueness theorem

Next, we give the other existence and uniqueness theorem. First, we give another assumption.

Assumption 3 *There exists a constant $c_2 > 0, c'_2 > 0$ such that*

$$[F(t, u^1) - F(t, u^2), u^1 - u^2] \geq c_2 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2 + c'_2 (|G^*(y^1 - y^2)|^2 + |G^*(z^1 - z^2)|^2),$$

$$[H(t, u^1) - H(t, u^2), u^1 - u^2] \geq c_2 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2 + c'_2 (|G^*(y^1 - y^2)|^2 + |G^*(z^1 - z^2)|^2),$$

$$\mathbb{P} - a.s., a.e. t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall u^1 = (x^1, y^1, z^1), u^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d},$$

and

$$(\Phi(x^1) - \Phi(x^2), G(x^1 - x^2)) \leq -c_3 |G(x^1 - x^2)|^2, \forall x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Where c_2, c'_2 and c_3 are given positive constants.

Theorem 3 *Let Assumption 1 and Assumption 3 hold, then there exists a unique adapted solution (X, Y, Z) for Eqs(1).*

Proof of Uniqueness: Using the same procedure as the proof of uniqueness of Theorem 2 and by Assumption 3 we can get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{Y}_T, G\hat{X}_T) &= E(\Phi(X_T^1) - \Phi(X_T^2), G(X_T^1 - X_T^2)) \\ &= E \int_0^T [F(s, U^1) - F(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] ds \\ &\quad + E \int_0^T [H(s, U^1) - H(s, U^2), U^1 - U^2] d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\geq c_2 E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 ds + c'_2 E \int_0^T (|G^*\hat{Y}|^2 + |G^*\hat{Z}|^2) ds \\ &\quad + c_2 E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + c'_2 E \int_0^T (|G^*\hat{Y}|^2 + |G^*\hat{Z}|^2) d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

We get then

$$\begin{aligned} &c_2 (E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |G\hat{X}|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s) \\ &\quad + c'_2 [E \int_0^T (|G^*\hat{Y}|^2 + |G^*\hat{Z}|^2) ds + E \int_0^T (|G^*\hat{Y}|^2 + |G^*\hat{Z}|^2) d\langle M, M \rangle_s] + c_3 |G\hat{X}|^2 \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

As c_2, c_2' and c_3 are given positive constants, then $|G\hat{X}|^2 \equiv 0, |G^*\hat{Y}|^2 \equiv 0, |G^*\hat{Z}|^2 \equiv 0$. So $\hat{X}_s^1 \equiv \hat{X}_s^2, \hat{Y}_s^1 \equiv \hat{Y}_s^2, \hat{Z}_s^1 \equiv \hat{Z}_s^2, d\langle M, M \rangle_t \times P - a.s.$

□

We now consider the following family of FBSDEs parametrized by $l \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^l = [(1-l)c_2'(G^*Y_t^l) + lb(t, U_t^l) + \phi_t]dt + [l\sigma(t, U_t^l) + (1-l)c_2'(G^*Z_t^l) + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t^l = [-(1-l)c_2GX_t^l + lf(t, U_t^l) + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t^l dM_t \\ X_0^l = x, Y_T^l = l\Phi(X_T^l) + (1-l)GX_T^l + \xi, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where ϕ, ψ and γ are given processes in $M^2(0, T)$ with values in $R^n, R^{n \times d}$ and R^m , resp. Clearly, when $l = 1, \xi \equiv 0$, the existence of equation (8) implies that of equation (1). When $l = 0$, equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^0 = [c_2'G^*Y_t^0 + \phi_t]dt + [c_2'G^*Z_t^0 + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t^0 = [-c_2GX_t^0 + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t^0 dM_t \\ X_0^0 = x, Y_T^0 = GX_T^0 + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

For proving the existence part of the theorem, we first need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4 *The following equation has a unique solution:*

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = [c_2'G^*Y_t + \phi_t]dt + [c_2'G^*Z_t + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY_t = [-c_2GX_t + \gamma_t]dt - Z_t dM_t \\ X_0 = x, Y_T = \lambda GX_T + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where λ is a nonnegative constant.

Lemma 5 *We assume Assumption 1 and Assumption 3 hold. If for an $l_0 \in [0, 1)$ there exists a solution $(X^{l_0}, Y^{l_0}, Z^{l_0})$ of Eqs(8), then there exists a positive constant δ_0 , such that for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, there exists a solution $(X^{l_0+\delta}, Y^{l_0+\delta}, Z^{l_0+\delta})$ of Eqs(8) for $l = l_0 + \delta$.*

Now we can give

Proof of Existence From Lemma 4, we see immediately that, when $\lambda = 1$ in Eqs(10), eqs(8) for $l = 0$ (that is Eqs(9)) has a unique solution. It then follows from Lemma 5 that there exists a positive constant δ_0 depending on Lipschitz constants, c_2, c_2', c_3 and T such that, for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, Eqs(8) for $l = l_0 + \delta$ has a unique solution. We can repeat this process for $N - times$ with $1 \leq N\delta_0 < 1 + \delta_0$. It then follows that, in particular, FBSDE Eqs(8) for $l = 1$ with $\xi \equiv 0$ has a unique solution. The proof is complete.

□

7 Proof of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5

Proof of Lemma 4:

We observe that the matrix G is of full rank. The proof of the existence for equation (10) will be divided into two cases: $n \leq m$ and $n > m$.

For the first case, the matrix G^*G is strictly positive. We set

$$\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \\ Z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ G^*Y \\ G^*Z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y'' \\ Z'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)Y \\ (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)Z \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying G^* on both sides of the BSDE for (Y, Z) yields

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [c'_2 Y'_t + \phi_t]dt + [c'_2 Z'_t + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dY'_t = [-c_2 G^*G X'_t + G^* \gamma_t]dt - Z'_t dM_t \\ X'_0 = x, Y'_T = \lambda G^*G X'_T + G^* \xi. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Similarly, multiplying $(I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)$ on both sides of the same equation

$$\begin{cases} -dY''_t = (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)\gamma_t dt - Z''_t dM_t, \\ Y''_T = (I_m - G(G^*G)^{-1}G^*)\xi. \end{cases}$$

Obviously the pair (Y'', Z'') is uniquely determined. The uniqueness of (X', Y', Z') follows from Theorem 7.1. In order to solve Eqs(11), we introduce the following $n \times n$ -symmetric matrix-valued ODE, known as the matrix-Raccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{K}(t) = -c'_2 K^2 + c_2 G^*G, t \in [0, T] \\ K_T = \lambda G^*G. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that this equation has a unique nonnegative solution $K(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T]; S^n)$. Where S^n stands for the space of all $n \times n$ -symmetric matrices. We then consider the solution $(p, q) \in M^2(0, T; R^{n+n \times d})$ of the following linear simple BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} -dp_t = [-c'_2 K(t)p_t - K(t)\phi_t + G^* \gamma_t]dt + [-K(t)\psi_t - (I_n + c'_2 K(t))q_t]dM_t, t \in [0, T] \\ p_T = G^* \xi. \end{cases}$$

We now let X'_t be the solution of the SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [c'_2 (-K(t)X'_t + p_t) + \phi_t]dt + [\psi_t + c'_2 q_t]dM_t, \\ X'_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that $(X'_t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = (X'_t, -K(t)X'_t + p_t, q_t)$ is the solution of equation (11). Once (X', Y', Z') and (Y'', Z'') are resolved, then the triple (X, Y, Z) is uniquely obtained by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ G(G^*G)^{-1}Y' + Y'' \\ G(G^*G)^{-1}Z' + Z'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the second case, the matrix GG^* is of full rank. We set

$$\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \\ Z' \\ X'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} GX \\ Y \\ Z \\ (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)X \end{pmatrix},$$

X'' is the unique solution of the following linear SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX''_t = (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)\phi_t dt + (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)\varphi_t dM_t, \\ X''_0 = (I_n - G^*(GG^*)^{-1}G)x. \end{cases}$$

The triple (X', Y', Z') solves the FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [c'_2 GG^* Y'_t + G\phi_t]dt + [c'_2 GG^* Z'_t + G\psi_t]dM_t, \\ -dY'_t = [-c_2 X'_t + \gamma_t]dt - Z'_t dM_t \\ X'_0 = Gx, Y'_T = \lambda X'_T + \xi. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

To solve this equation, we introduce the following $m \times m$ -symmetric matrix-valued matrix-Raccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{K}(t) = c_2 I_m - c'_2 K G G^* K, t \in [0, T] \\ K(T) = \lambda I_m. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that this equation has a unique nonnegative solution $K(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T]; S^m)$. Where S^m stands for the space of all $m \times m$ -symmetric matrices. We then consider the solution $(p, q) \in M^2(0, T; R^{m+m \times d})$ of the following linear simple BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} -dp_t = [c'_2 K(t) G G^* p_t - K(t) G \phi_t + \gamma_t]dt + (-K(t) G \psi_t - (I_m - c'_2 K(t) G G^*) q_t) dM_t, t \in [0, T], \\ Y_T = \xi. \end{cases}$$

We now let X'_t be the solution of the SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX'_t = [-c'_2 GG^*(-K(t)X'_t + \gamma_t) + G\phi_t]dt + [G\psi_t - c'_2 GG^*q_t]dM_t, \\ X'_0 = Gx. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that $(X'_t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = (X'_t, K(t)X'_t + p_t, q_t)$ is the solution of equation (12). Once (X', X'', Y', Z') are resolved, by the definition, the triple (X, Y, Z) is uniquely obtained by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G^*(GG^*)^{-1}X' + X'' \\ Y' \\ Z' \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Lemma 5:

Since for each $\phi \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n), \gamma \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m), \psi \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}), \xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, l_0 \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique solution of (8), therefore, for each $U_s = (X_s, Y_s, Z_s) \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d})$ there exists a unique triple $u_s = (x_s, y_s, z_s) \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d})$ satisfying the following FBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dx_t = [(1-l_0)c'_2(G^*y_t) + l_0b(t, u_t) + \delta b(t, U_t) + \delta(-c'_2G^*Y_t) + \phi_t]dt \\ \quad + [(1-l_0)c'_2(G^*z_t) + l_0\sigma(t, u_t) + \delta\sigma(t, U_t) - \delta c'_2(G^*Z_t) + \psi_t]dM_t \\ -dy_t = [-(1-l_0)c_2Gx_t + l_0f(t, u_t) + \delta c_2GX_t + \delta f(t, U_t) + \gamma_t]dt - z_t dM_t \\ x_0 = x, y_T = l_0\Phi(x_T) + (1-l_0)Gx_T + \delta(\Phi(X_T) - GX_T) + \xi. \end{cases}$$

We want to prove that the mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{l_0+\delta}(U \times X_T) &= u \times x_T : M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}) \\ &\rightarrow M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}) \end{aligned}$$

is contract.

Let $U' \times X'_T = (X', Y', Z') \times X'_T \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+m+m \times d}) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ and $u' \times x'_T = I_{l_0+\delta}(U' \times X'_T)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= (\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = (X - X', Y - Y', Z - Z'), \\ \hat{u} &= (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (x - x', y - y', z - z'), \\ \hat{f}_s &= f(s, U_s) - f(s, U'_s), \hat{b}_s = b(s, U_s) - b(s, U'_s), \hat{\sigma}_s = \sigma(s, U_s) - \sigma(s, U'_s), \\ \bar{f}_s &= f(s, u_s) - f(s, u'_s), \bar{b}_s = b(s, u_s) - b(s, u'_s), \bar{\sigma}_s = \sigma(s, u_s) - \sigma(s, u'_s). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{x}_s = [(1-l_0)c_2'(G^*\hat{y}_s) + l_0\bar{b}_s + \delta\hat{b}_s + \delta(-c_2'G^*\hat{Y}_s)]ds \\ \quad + [(1-l_0)c_2'(G^*\hat{z}_s) + l_0\bar{\sigma}_s + \delta\hat{\sigma}_s - \delta c_2'(G^*\hat{Z}_s)]dM_s, \\ -d\hat{y}_s = [-(1-l_0)c_2G\hat{x}_s + l_0\bar{f}_s + \delta c_2G\hat{X}_s + \delta\hat{f}_s]ds - \hat{z}_s dM_s. \\ \hat{x}_0 = 0, \hat{y}_T = l_0(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T')) + (1-l_0)G\hat{x}_T + \delta(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T') - G\hat{x}_T). \end{cases}$$

Using Stieltjes chain rule for product $(G\hat{x}_s, \hat{y}_s)$, and hence, taking expectations and evaluating at $t = T$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & l_0E(\Phi(x_T) - \Phi(x_T'), G\hat{x}_T) + (1-l_0)E(G\hat{x}_T, G\hat{x}_T) + \delta E(\Phi(X_T) - \Phi(X_T') - G\hat{X}_T, G\hat{x}_T) \\ &= l_0E \int_0^T [F(s, u_s) - F(s, u_s'), \hat{u}_s]ds + l_0E \int_0^T [H(s, u_s) - H(s, u_s'), \hat{u}_s]d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ & \quad + (1-l_0)E \int_0^T [c_2|G\hat{x}_s|^2 + c_2'(G^*\hat{y}_s)^2]ds + (1-l_0)E \int_0^T [c_2'(G^*\hat{z}_s)^2]d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ & \quad + \delta E \int_0^T [-c_2(G\hat{x}_s, G\hat{X}_s) - c_2'(G^*\hat{y}_s, G^*\hat{Y}_s) + (\hat{x}_s, -G^*\hat{f}_s) + (G^*\hat{y}_s, \hat{b}_s)]ds \\ & \quad + \delta E \int_0^T [-c_2'(G^*\hat{Z}_s, G^*\hat{z}_s) + (\hat{z}_s, G\hat{\sigma}_s)]d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

From Assumption 1 and Assumption 3, $c_2 > 0, c_3 > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (l_0c_3 - (1-l_0))E|G\hat{x}_T|^2 + c_2E \int_0^T |G\hat{x}_s|^2ds + c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{y}_s|^2ds + l_0c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{z}_s|^2ds \\ & \quad + l_0c_2E \int_0^T |G\hat{x}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s + l_0c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{y}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s + c_2'E \int_0^T |G^*\hat{z}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ & \leq \delta c_4E \int_0^T (|\hat{U}_s|^2 + |\hat{u}_s|^2)ds + \delta c_4E \int_0^T (|\hat{U}_s|^2 + |\hat{u}_s|^2)d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ & \quad + \delta c_4E|\hat{X}_T|^2 + \delta c_4E|\hat{x}_T|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the difference of the solutions $(\hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (y - y', z - z')$, we apply the usual technique to the BSDE, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_0^T |\hat{y}_s|^2ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{z}_s|^2d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ & \leq c_4\delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2ds + c_4\delta E|\hat{X}_T|^2 + c_4E \int_0^T |\hat{x}_s|^2ds + c_4E|\hat{x}_T|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the difference of the solution $\hat{x} = x - x'$, we apply the usual technique to the forward part and get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\hat{x}_s|^2 &\leq c_4 E \int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + |\hat{z}_s|^2) ds + c_4 E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\quad + c_4 \delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + c_4 \delta E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \\ E \int_0^T |\hat{x}_s|^2 ds &\leq c_4 T E \int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + |\hat{z}_s|^2) ds + c_4 T E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \\ &\quad + c_4 \delta T E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + c_4 \delta T E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

Here the constant c_4 depends on the Lipschitz constants as well as G, c_2, c'_2 and T .

Combing the above estimates, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{x}_T|^2 \\ \leq c_5 \delta \{ E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{X}_T|^2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant c_5 depending on c_4, G, c_2 and c_3 . If we choose $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2c_5}$, then it is clear that, for each fixed $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, the mapping $I_{\alpha+\delta}$ is contract in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{u}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{x}_T|^2 \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 ds + E \int_0^T |\hat{U}_s|^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s + E |\hat{X}_T|^2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

It indicates that this mapping has a unique fixed point $(u^{l_0+\delta}) = (x^{l_0+\delta}, y^{l_0+\delta}, z^{l_0+\delta})$, which is the solution of equation (8) for $l = l_0 + \delta$.

The proof is complete. □

References

- [1] F. Antonelli, *Backward-forward stochastic differential equations*, The Annals of Applied Probability, 3(1993), 777-793
- [2] K. Bahlali, M. Eddahbi, E. Essaky, *BSDE Associated with Levy proceses and application to PDIE*, International Journal of Stochastic Analysis, 16(1)(2003), 1-17.
- [3] S. Cohen, R. Elliott, *Solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations on Markov Chains*, Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 2(2)(2008), 251-262.
- [4] S. Cohen, R. Elliott, *Comparisons for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations on Markov Chains and related No-Arbitrage Conditions*, The Annals of Applied Probability, 20(1)(2010), 267-311.
- [5] S. Cohen, Y. Hu, *Ergodic BSDEs driven by Markov Chains*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 51(5)(2013), 4138-4168.
- [6] R. Elliott, L. Aggoun, and J. Moore, *Hidden markov models: Estimation and control*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1994.
- [7] Y. Hu, S. Peng, *Solutions of forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 103(2)(1995), 273-283
- [8] N. Li, Z. Wu, *Optimal control problem with delay and Levy processes*, Applied Mathematics-A Journal of Chinese Universities, 29(1)(2014), 67-85.
- [9] J. Ma, Z. Wu, D. Zhang, J. Zhang, *On wellposedness of forward-backward SDEs-A unified approach*, arxiv.org/abs/math/1110.4658v1, (2011).
- [10] J. Ma, J. Yong, *Forward-backward stochastic diferential equations and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 1999.
- [11] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. Yong, *Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly-a four step scheme*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 98(3)(1994), 339-359.
- [12] D. Nualart, W. Schoutens, *Backward stochastic differential equations and Feynman-Kac formula for Levy processes, with applications in finance*, Bernoulli, 7(5)(2001):761-776.

- [13] Y. Ouknine, *Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps*, Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes, 65(1-2)(1998), 111-125.
- [14] E. PardouxS. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equations*, System and Control Letters, 14(1990), 5561
- [15] E. Pardoux, S. Tang, *Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic PDEs*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 114(2)(1999), 123-150.
- [16] S. Peng, Z. Wu, *Fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 37(3)(1999), 825-843.
- [17] S. Tang, X. Li, *Necessary conditions for optimal control of stochastic systems with random jumps*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 32(5)(1994), 1447-1475.
- [18] Z. Wu, *Forward-Backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion and Poisson process*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 15(4)(1999), 433-443.
- [19] Z. Wu, *Fully coupled FBSDE with Brownian motion and Poisson process in stopping time duration*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 74(02)(2003), 249-266.
- [20] Z. Wu, *The comparison theorem of FBSDE*, Statistics and Probability Letters, 44(1)(1999), 1-6.
- [21] J. Yong, *Forward-backward stochastic differential equations with mixed initial-terminal conditions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 362(2)(2010), 1047-1096.